P. F. 9 of 98

G. R. S. IX-5]

1897-1898

Previous references.

File No. 102 of 97

191

(L. R.)

Serial Nos. 1-7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7.

Major ~~Part~~ B for December 1897.

SUBJECT.

✓ Appeal of Linge Gowda of ~~Holli~~ Mokalli in the Akkalgud Lalek &c. in Patel ~~of the village~~ office.

Continued

Examined

Φ23

Translation of the reports of the different Amildars bringing to notice the Petitioner's incompetency.

Serial No 7 ① Report No 371 of 2.8.1891.

The correspondence connected with the petn of Mosilly Ereegowda alias Sannegowda and others regarding the Pateli and the papers connected with order No $\frac{272}{401}$ of 12th July, 86 appointing Linge Gowda + son of Basave Gowda 2nd Registerdar, are enclosed according to the Pherist.

+ wrong: Linge Gowda was grandson of Basave Gowda. The Dy Commr also commits this mistake (Ref Serial Nos 28, & 44)

Basave Gowda (2nd Hukkdar)
|
Basavalinge Gowda
|
Linge Gowda (appellant).

In the Kassalbainthi Register of this village the names of ① Bogegowda and ② Basavegowda appear as Hukkudars and Bogegowda is mentioned as the sole officiating Patel. In the year 1885 petr Ereegowda submitted a petn stating that the 1st Registerdar had died and that the Pateli may be conferred upon him. Correspondence ensued and the parties were directed to appear before the Dy Commr. The parties were ~~Summoned~~ accordingly, but Channa Malle

Boge Gowda (1st Hukkdar & Officiating)
|
Channa Malle Gowda — Eree Gowda 1875?
|
Malle Gowda (Respondent)

(Ref Serial No 4)

son of Boge Gowda
Channabasave Gowda did not present himself. The then Dy Commr: Mr Motte in his order No. 34 d/ 3·6·76 appointed Lingegowda, son of 2nd Registedar Basave Gowda to the office and directed that the name of Channabasave Gowda may be mentioned as a Hakkudar. Accordingly the said Lingegowda has been till now discharging the duties of the office. The name of this man and that of Channabasave Gowda were not then and there mentioned in the Barabaluthi Register.

grandson

Subsequently petr: Ere Gowda submitted another petn. On a perusal of the correspondence, an order was passed to the effect that the case could not be disposed of summarily, and that if the present officiator was found incompetent, the nearest competent Varasdar may be selected and recommended for orders. This order is on file in the correspondence. No steps were taken ✡ in the matter of reporting the incompetency of the officiating Patel Linge Gowda.

Boge Gowda's son Ere Gowda,

who was contending from the very beginning, and his brother Channa mallegowda's sons mallegowda and Siddegowda, have submitted the present petition alleging some grounds praying that the Patelship may be conferred upon them.

It is understood from the records produced that, after the demise of petitioner's father Basa Gowda, petitioner's elder brother Channa mallegowda was doing the duties of the Patel for some time.

It is also seen on the strength of the enclosed records that Channa mallegowda would have been appointed Patel, had he been present at the time, when the District authorities in 1876 decided the case in favour of the present officiator Lingegowda.

Lingegowda was appointed only because Channa malle Gowda was not present at that time. In the very same order it is stated that the name of Channa malle Gowda may be mentioned as a Hakkudar.

Since then Sre Gowda has

been continuously urging his cause.

As an order has been passed to the effect that this man's case could not be disposed of summarily &c by Mr. Amrita Rao, Dy Commr:, there is no room for recommending the said Ere Gowda again.

In the order of '8-6 it is directed that the name of Channa Malle Gowda, son of the 1st Registra-dar should be registered. This order was not given effect to in the Taluk. In the mean time Channa Malle Gowda died. Both his sons are competent and are literate to a certain extent. Of these the name of the elder, Malle Gowda may be ordered to be registered.

The former Amildars have on three or four occasions reported about the incompetency of the present officiator Linge Gowda. Besides he has found fault with and fined two or three times. I have had experience of this man's work for one year. He does not seem to be competent. He not only impeded the Census work but also misbehaved himself before the Census Superintendent at the time of his visit to this place. I was present at the time. I had submitted a report together with his deposition to the effect that he showed his indifference to my orders by absenting himself, that he purposely obstructed Govt work &c.

He has not been showing any interest with regard to the upkeep of the ~~[illegible]~~ avenue trees by the roadside, Gunda Topu and Bunds. But I do not mean that for these reasons he should be deprived of his office immediately.

For the present the name of Mallegowda above referred to may be simply registered and the present officiator Linge Gowda may be warned to the effect that he ought to show more earnestness in his duty to the satisfaction of his superiors. If even then he does not behave better I shall bring the matter again to the notice of the higher authorities.

However, on this report that Mr Narasimhan ordered the registry of Malle Gowda as Upt. Kudan

---

②. Report No 868 of 12.3.86.

From the records connected with the Estate of [illegible] village, it is seen that in the Barabaluthi Register the names of Begegowda and Basavegowda appears as — Hattikadars

Hakkudars, while Bogegowda is mentioned as the sole officiating Patel. Subsequently to fill up the vacancy caused by the death of these two individuals, Linge Gowda son of the 2nd Basavegowda having presented himself in person, was appointed, As ~~Th~~Channa Malle Gowda, son of the 1st Bogegowda and elder brother of the present petitioner, was absent at the time of the nomination and it was directed that the name of Channa Malle Gowda son of the 1st Hakkudar may be included as Hakkudar. This order bearing No $\frac{314}{223}$ of 3·6·76 of the late Hassan Dt was conveyed to this office by means of Yadi No 4,75 of the Amildar of Holenarsipur Taluk. The Yadi is herein enclosed.

The present officiator Linge Gowda is somewhat deaf. This Moorily village is close to Arkalgud-Ramanathpur Road. Bondu repairs and collection work are not properly attended to. This village yields a large revenue. The petr's father, ~~bec~~ having been Hakkudari Patel, was doing the duties thereof. Now that petr's brother Channa

Malle Gowda is mentioned to be an Hakimdar, I suggest that orders may be issued directing that in future work may be taken from this man as well, as the same would facilitate the better conduct of work.

---

③ Report No 44 d/ 22-12-92

Report No 247 from the Kasaba Shekdar to the effect that Linge Gowda, Patel of Markly had impeded Govt: work by absenting himself for two months as he was suffering from sore throat ([illegible]) &c. is herein enclosed.

On the 15th of this month I had been to the said village on Jamabandi work. On enquiry I found that he was ill for two months and had left the place for Karpuravalli. He has not even submitted a report applying for leave.

That he did not apply for leave although he was ill for two months is not right. The Bunds and the avenue trees are not in good condition. As this is the time of Jamabandi, I have nominated for the present Malle Gowda, son of

Channa Malle Gowda, who was nominated to be the 2nd Registerdar in accordance with order No $\frac{31}{440}$ of 8·8·91, to do the duties of the office.

This is a roadside village. If one man absents himself owing to illness &c, the other may be present and do his work.

After the return of Linga Gowda I shall submit a report after due enquiry.

④ Report No 135 of 29·8·94. Serial No 40

All records connected with the petn of Malle Gowda Son of Channa Malle Gowda regarding the Patel of Markalu;s are herein enclosed.

The patel which ~~had~~ been registered in the name of Mallegowda's father was confirmed in the name of the petr: in accordance with order No 631 of 8·8·91.

The other Registerdar has been doing the duties of the office. But reports have already been made about him to the effect that he was not doing his duty and that he was incompetent. The correspondence contains a detail Report No 373 of 2·5·91 submitted by Amildar Krishnappa, who was transferred, regarding the said Linge Gowda to the effect that he (Lingegowda) was found fault with and fined on two or three occasions; that in his one year's experience he found the man incompetent; that he not only impeded the Census work but also misbehaved himself before the Census Superintendent at ~~that~~ the time of his visit to that place; that he impeded Govt work, that the avenue trees and the Gundutopu were not in good condition &c.

Subsequently the said Linge Gowda left the village and absented himself without permission. Consequently an enquiry was made, and the present Patel and Registrar Mallegowda was appointed to do the duties of the Patel, in accordance with order No 370 of 6.1.93. Under orders received later on Lingegowda was restored to the office of the Patel. A receipt has also been produced for an amount of Rs 3, being the Patelgi amount paid by Lingegowda to the said Mallegowda for the time he officiated as Patil during the absence of the former. As stated above he is a (unpy Youth) bad mischievous man. ([illegible]) On enquiry I find that the village is a large one with a Jamabandi of Rs 897-12-5 and a Pkotgi of Rs 32-8-0. As at present arranged one is deputed to do Police duty and the other to do Revenue duty the work seems to get on well. I solicit your orders on perusal of the correspondence.

11/8/97

The above reports show that petr was generally incompetent, and absenting himself without leave. He has also been fined on 2 or 3 occasions.

The question is whether the circumstances justify a dismissal before resorting to milder measures (suspension, fine &c) and whether the injustice done to respondent's father in 1876 has not made the appellant's punishment more severe.

It is also a question whether under Rule XXX of the M Offg Rules, appellant's next-of-kin should not be preferred to respondent.

M
19/8/97

I


Boge Gowda
Chinna Malle Gowda
Eri Gowda
Malle Gowda (appellant).

II


Basave Gowda.
Basavalinga Gowda.
Linge Gowda.
(Respondent)

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 127 of 1896-97

Appellant. Linge Gowda

Vs.

Respondent. Malle Gowda.

Dispute regarding the Patel Office of Inokally Arkalgud Taluk.

List of papers.

1. Jamabandi Officer's memo.
2. Dy. Commr's order.
3. Appeal Petition.
4. Official Memorandum
5. Geneological table
6. Translation of Amildar's report
7. Dy. Commr's report.

Lingegowda is said to have a son who is 16 yrs old & knows to read & write - If so, & if he is otherwise competent & qualified there is no reason to pass him over if the appellant Lingegowda has failed to discharge his duties satisfactorily. The Dy Comr will see this son by name Basavalingayya & report about his competency. Mullegowda does not know to read & write & if Basavalingayya is fit he can have no preference over him Lingegowda having continued as the Registered Officiator from 1876.

18-10-97

Proc. drafted
S.V.
21/10/97

①

1896

2033 A.S. No. 96-97

Name of Petitioner Linge Gowda

Village Biccally

Taluk Arkalgud

District Hassan

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 26-12-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT.

Petr appeals against the Deputy Commrs order of 29th Sept 1896 ~~[illegible]~~ directing the removal of the petr from the office of Pateli.

The order appealed against and the grounds of the appeal are in English.

BL
4/1/97

Enclosures

1 Dy Commr's order

2 Assistant Commissioner's memo suggesting the appointment of two Patels to the village.

Subd
6/1/97

1) In time R 102-97

2) Stamp sufficient

3) Appeal against an order of the Dy Commr, Hassan Dt removing petr from Patelship on account of incapacity & appointing a co-ordinate hukdar in his (petr's) place

Petr's grounds of appeal are 1° that he is not incompetent 2° that he has been officiating for the last 20 years 3° that the C-petr's right has become extinct

Subd to 2nd Councillor
7/1/97

Recd 5 PM
7/1/97

Call for report
8/1/97

Office draft O.M.
9/1

Ord. & recd.
Draft filed
11/1/97

COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

2038

By post
received
with one [illegible]
Rupee [illegible]
affixed
21/12/96

[illegible] 2038
Date 21-12-96

In the Court of the Dewan of Mysore

Misc Rev appeal No 127 of 1896-97.

Enclo: two BM
14-12-96

Appellant { Lingay gowda, son of Basavalingay gowda Patail living in Mookly village, Kasaba Hobly Arkalgud Taluq.

Respondt { Mallay gowda, son Chennamallay Gowda residing at Mokly village, Kasaba Hobly Arkalgud Taluq.

The appellant above named begs to this Honorable Court of the order passed by ~~the~~ Dy Commr of Hassan directing the appellant ~~from the~~ to be removed from the office of Patail, dated 29th Sept 1896 for the following reasons viz:—

I The appellant being not only

a haqdar but also having done the duties for the last 20 years up to the date of order appealed against, the Dy Commissioner was ~~so~~ wrong in setting at nought the haqdary right as also enjoyment for over 12 years.

Clerical mistake

II In the copy filed herewith it is stated that the appellant was appointed in 1896 whereas it ought to have been 1876.

III The Dy Commissioner has ~~fault~~ erred in setting aside & in finding fault with, ~~the~~ appointment of ~~the~~ appellant Lingagowda made so far back as 20 years ago - 20 years enjoyment coupled with ~~the~~ admission & fact that the appellant is ~~a hi~~ the haqdar makes or creates an indefeasible right to the appellant

IV That the new nominee Mallayagowda's ancestor used to do the duties some 20 years ago & not since then shows that ~~the~~ any right even if existed in Mallagowda, ~~that~~ right was extinguished long ago by lapse of time

That Lingiy Gowda is ~~incompt~~ reported to be incompetent is the result of Mallegowda's high attempt to get for himself the appointment. The appellant is present & the Dewan & the Council members may satisfy themselves by talking to him personally & thus ascertain what value could be given for the reports that the appellant is incompetent

V The last para of ~~the~~ the judgt ~~that~~ the Dy Commr is not in favour of appointing 2 persons as Patels for a village is useless, as the choice & opinion of the Govt ~~passed~~ expressed recently viz ~~that~~ when ~~there~~ are ~~sub~~ both of Hagdars ~~both~~ ~~one~~ then may be appointed must prevail in preference to the wish of the Dy Commissr.

VI Neither law nor fact supports the case for the Respondt.

VII Therefore the order passed by the Dy Commr depriving the appellant of the office of the

Portion from the affidavit —
is to be set aside —
[illegible]

2

L. R. 102-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1035 dated —— received at the Dewan's Office from Linge Gowdah, Patel of Hun Arkalgode Taluk, appealing against deputy Commissioner's No. dated 9th Sept 97

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
Ja [illegible] 1897

Draft

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

[illegible]
29/7/97

Refs put up

AK
29/7/97

Submitted
BK 29/7/97

The only question in the case is whether petr had proved himself incompetent.

Translate the reports of the different amildars & sheristadars Krishnappa (&c.) bringing to notice the petr's incompetency

Given to C.E. Ramakow 6/8/97

M 3/8/97

Translations put up on 11/8/97
Submitted 11/8/97
C.E. 11/8/97

Sub'd to 2nd Councillor

M 19/8/97

Rdbs. 3 o'clock
19.8.97

Post for hearing in the usual course & issue notices to the parties concerned
21.8.97

Bring up papers
M 21/8/97

21-8-97

Notices issued
14/9/97

Posted for hearing on 18th October at Mysore

M 15/9/97

1519 07
4

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 852
Dec 69
6 JUL. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Gen. Serial No. 50.]

3 1897

L.R. 102-97-3

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 23rd July '97
Despatched " 3 July '97
Received

Enclosures No. Petn & 1 R

General No.
No. 93.

In reply to Eco: 1038 dy 11th January/97

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition from Lingegowda Patel of Mulli, Arkalgud Taluk, appealing against Dy Commr's order regarding the office of Patel of the said village &c.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Petition Branch
26/7/97

B on 28/7

No 93

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated, Hassan 23rd July 877

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the Govern
ment of Mysore, General
and Revenue Depts, Bangalo

Sir,

With reference to the officiat memorandum No 378 dated 1st January 1877, forwarding a petition from Linge Gowda, Patel of Kortii, Arkalgud taluk appealing against the order of this office, and calling for a report of the case, I have the honor to state that in the Bazetaukhi Register of 867 — though the names of Boja Gowda and Basava Gowda appear as Registere dars, yet Boja Gowda is mentioned as the Officiating Patel.

2. The present appellant Linge Gowda, is the grandson of the second Registrar (Iranna Gowda). While the Respondent, Malle Gowda, is the grandson of Boga Gowda by his eldest son Thimmaiah Gowda. Boga Gowda died about 1877. Soon after his demise, Erra Gowda, his second son, lodged a petition to the effect that the Patel should be registered either in his name or in the name of his elder brother's children. The Appellant also put forth his claims on the ground that he had a right to the Patel, and that he should be appointed for the office. In the year 1870, Colonel Hutte, the then Deputy Commissioner, ordered the parties to appear before him to have the question settled. On hearing the case, Colonel Hutte appointed Linge Gowda to the office, as he was found to be tolerably

of Mr Furnish, had acquitted himself well, Amildar Guru Rao recommended Malle Gowda to be appointed a Patel, either on Police or revenue duty, as the village is a large one with a Jamabandi of Rs 997-2-5, & a Bhigi of 1050-8-0. Thereupon, the Amildar was directed to place the whole records of the case, with the parties concerned, before the Jamabandi Officer, who happened to be Mr Chandra Sekharam Iyer. After making necessary enquiries Mr Chandra Sekharam Iyer, in his memo of 3rd November 1895, expressed his opinion that Malle Gowda should be appointed a joint Patel, the work being divided between him and Linge Gowda.

On receipt of this memo 21 together with the records, I passed the following order, No. 690 dated 20th September

+ grandson

September 5th "The appointment in 1876 of Linge Gowda +son of a mere nirkdar, in preference to the then officiator son Malle gowda was far from right; but this mistake seems to have been, in some measure, corrected by a subsequent order, in which it was said that the legitimate claimant's claim would be considered if Linge Gowda was reported incompetent. As remarked by the Assistant Commissioner, Linge gowda has been reported to be incompetent and negligent in his work by successive Amildars. Linge Gowda is, therefore, ordered to be removed from office, and Malle Gowda, the rightful hukdar, to be entertained in his place on probation."

8. Taking Linge Gowda's conduct into consideration, I am of opinion

that it is not desirable to have him as Patil, either singly or jointly, except in necessary cases; I am not in favour of appointing two Patels to a village.

9. The vernacular records connected with case, ending with the Special Assistant Commissioner's Sadi No 573 dated 31st May 1897, and also the appeal petition &c, received with the official memo under reply, are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

4

LR. 102-97-H Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:—

Letter No 93 dated 23rd July 1897, from the Deputy Commr Hassan District, reporting on the petition of Linge Gowda of Mokli in the Arkalgud Taluk, ~~in the matter of his claim to the office of Patel of the said village~~,

A appealing against the Dy Commr's order removing him from the Patelki & appointing one Malle Gowda to the vacancy.

Order thereon No. $\frac{3934}{R.000}$ $\frac{15}{31}$ 1897

The parties were heard. The appellant Linge Gowda is said to have a son who is 16 years old and knows to read and write. If he and if he is otherwise competent and qualified, there is no reason to pass him over, if the appellant Lingegauda has failed to discharge his duties satisfactorily.

The Deputy Commr will see this son by name Basavalingaiya and report about his competency. Mallegauda does not know to read and write, and, if Basavalingaiya is ~~[illegible]~~ fit, he can have no preference over ~~him~~ the latter, Lingegauda having continued as the Registered Officiator from 1876.

For Secretary.

28/10/97

C 2, 3. Draft –

To The Dy Commr Hassan District with Records.

S.V. 21/10/97

+ [illegible]
30-10-97

Gen. Serial No. 30.] 5

REVENUE DE…
Gl. No. 3654
Ref 271
22 NOV. 97
DEWAN'S OFF…

L.R. 102-97-5

189

From

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore,
General and Rev Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated 19th November 1897
Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No. 541

In reply to No. 3935 / Ref: 566 of 30th Oct 1897

SUBJECT.

Submitting further report on certain points in regard to the office of Patel of Mohali village, Arkalgud Taluk, called for.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

22/11/97

Refs put up.
AK 24/11/97

Submitted
AK 24/11/97

The Dy Commr apparently proposes to ~~appoint~~ register the minor son of the dismissed patel as the Barawardar & appoint the respondent Malle Gowda as guardian till the minor attains majority.

Subd to 2nd Councillor
My 24/11/97

There can be no objection to this course, which may be sanctioned. Submit to A.O. Submit also.
1/12/97

Recd 4/12/97

Subd to 3rd Councillor
My 4/12/97

Yes the minor may be registered as Patel, Malle Gowda being made his guardian during the minority. 6-12-97

Orders My 9/12/97

Replied
SK 10/12/97

No 541

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated, Hassan, 9th November 1897.

From
B. G. Krishniengar Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: $\frac{3935}{\text{Rel. 566}}$ dated 30th October last, calling for report as to the competency of Basavalingaiya, son of Lingegowda, appellant for the Patelki of Mokli, in the Arkalgud Taluk, I have the honor to state that I have seen the boy. He is about 14 years old and is not likely to be fit for the responsible duties of a Patel for the next 4 or 5 years. In the meantime, Mallegowda may be allowed to act. As has been already reported, Mallegowda's supersession in 1876 by Lingegowda was not justifiable.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dist.

⑦ 2 Drafts

L.R. 102-97-6

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:-

(1) Petition of appeal No $\frac{2038}{127}$ of 1896-97, presented by Linge Gauda of Mokli in the Arkalgud Taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commr of Hassan removing him from the Pateli of Mokli and appointing one Malle Gauda to the office

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No 541 dated 19th November 1897, from the Deputy Commr Hassan District submitting the further report called for in Govt Procg No $\frac{3935}{P.566}$ dated 30th October 1897.

(3) Records of the case, from

which the facts appear to be as follows:—

The Barabaluti Register of 1867 shows that Basave Gauda and Boge Gauda grandfather of the appellant and the Respondent, respectively, were the Baravaddar Patels of Mokli, but that ~~the latter~~ Boge Gauda was the sole officiator. ~~Mil~~ Boge Gauda died in 1874, and two years later, the Deputy Commissioner appointed the appellant Linge Gauda to the office, as he was found tolerably literate, and as the heirs of the deceased patel failed to appear before that officer. Respondent Malli Gauda and his uncle having repeatedly urged their claims to the office, the Deputy Commr in 1891, ordered registry of the former as holder. Linge Gauda having been reported by successive Amildars to be incompetent and negligent ~~of~~ in his duties, the Deputy Commr in 1896, ordered his removal from the office, and the appointment of Malle Gauda in his place. The appeal is against this order.

At the first hearing of this appeal, Linge Gauda having stated that he had a literate son ~~aged~~ 16 years old, by name Basavalingaiya, Govt, in their Procg No $\frac{3935}{P.566}$ dated 30th October 1897, directed the Deputy Commr to see the boy and report about his competency, and observed that, if Lingegauda failed to discharge his duties satisfactorily, his son, if competent and qualified, could not be passed over and that Malle Gauda who was illiterate could ~~not~~ have no preference over him, Linge Gauda having continued as the registered Officiator from 1876. The Deputy Commr now reports that Basava-lingaiya ~~when he was~~ is about 14 years old and is not likely to be fit for the responsible duties of a Patel for the next 4 or 5 years, and recommends that in the meanwhile, Malli Gauda may be allowed to act.

— u —

Linge Gowda ~~appellant~~ was properly dismissed by the Dy Commr for incompetency and the appeal against the order of dismissal is rejected.

2. Govt are of opinion that in the appointment of a successor the claims of the son of the dismissed patel cannot be overlooked and are accordingly pleased to direct that Basavalingaiya be registered as patel of Mokli in succession to his dismissed father Linge Gowda. Malle Gowda may, as recommended Ref. C, 3, by the Dy Commr, be appointed to act for the ~~reqst~~ harawardar during his minority

M
Jnr Secy

Order No. $\frac{5153}{[illegible]}$ d $\frac{13.12.97}{}$ P

(2) As regards the appointment of a successor Govt are pleased to direct that Basavalingaiya be registered as Patel of Mokli, in succession to his dismissed father Linge Gauda, Malli Gauda being appointed to act for him during his minority.

For Secretary.

To
The Deputy Commr
Hassan District, ~~with papers~~

S.V.
10/12/97.

[illegible] 2034 [illegible] 2 (6) [illegible]
14-12-97

L.R. 102-97-7

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

విషయం.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By Order

For Secretary

[illegible]

[illegible]

By Order

For Secretary

10/12/97